

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/067,198 02/07/2002		Makoto Sanada	0505-0955P	7140
2292 7.	590 06/14/2005	EXAMINER		
	WART KOLASCH &	. MILLER, CARL STUART		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUR	.CH, VA 22040-0747	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3747	
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				·	SNY			
		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/067,198		SANADA ET AL				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Carl S. Mille	•	3747				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the c	over sheet with the c	correspondence address	:			
THE - External control	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (cf. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic experiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ion. s, a reply within the statuto, period will apply and will e v statute, cause the applica	however, may a reply be ting minimum of thirty (30) day xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.			
Status	• .							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	28 January 2005			,			
′=		This action is nor	-final	,				
3)	· —	_		secution as to the meri	its is			
٠,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the applic	cation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	thdrawn from cons	ideration.					
5)🖂	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-23,25 and 26</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 24 is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election req	uirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be	held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the o	correction is required	if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	the Examiner. Note	the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	2.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Beet the attached detailed Office action for	iments have been iments have been e priority document Bureau (PCT Rule	received. received in Applicati s have been receive 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	· 9			
Attachmer	nt(s)							
1) 🔯 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Ser No(s)/Mail Date		Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/067,198

Art Unit: 3751

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 20, 23, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onda in view of Haghgooie.

Onda teaches an engine decompression cam used to open exhaust valves via a rocker arm mechanism.

Haghgooie applies as per the last office action.

It would have been obvious to modify Onda by operating the decompression cam as taught by Haghgooie because the Haghgooie method could be practiced by an equivalent exhaust valve drive means and, as noted below, the primary objective of Haghgooie is to wait for a revolution speed to be achieved during the starting process.

Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Onda and Haghgooie as applied to claim 20 above, and further in view of Brinn.

Brinn applies as per the last office action and would have been obvious to apply to the system of Onda since its teachings are unrelated to the exhaust valve drive means.

Claim 24 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 1-19 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/067,198

Art Unit: 3751

Applicant's arguments filed January 28, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In particular, the applicant is incorrect when he states that the primary objective of Haghgooie is to replace the mechanical rocker arm drive of the exhaust valve with an electrical drive. Instead, Haghgooie clearly states in his abstract that his main objective is to control the starting process by operating the decompression via the method claimed by applicant.

The use of electrically driven exhaust valves is incidental to the teaching of Haghgooie and it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to simply drive the valves mechanically via a rocker arm to achieve the same result.

Applicant will note the claims requiring a specific drive means for the rocker assembly (including a lifter mounted as claimed) have now been allowed. The omission of Claim 14 in the original rejection is therefore moot except for the fact that the examiner has made this rejection non-final due to this fact.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carl Miller whose telephone number is (571) 272-4849.

The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTHF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Henry Yuen, can be reached on (571) 272-4856. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 3751

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Miller/PJ

5/6/05

Carl'S. Miller Primary Examine: